



Communication in LEnSE: Results on National Stakeholder Meeting 2006

Trans-national Expert Workshop
14th November, Brussels

Consultation and Communication



Consultation

- Involvement of national and European stakeholders
- National Stakeholder Meetings (NSM)
- Trans-national Expert Workshops (TEW)
- International symposium



Communication

- Communication about the work of LEnSE
- Flyer & website
- Stepping-stone publications



LEnSE - Website

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

<http://www.lensebuildings.com>

- Description of LEnSE project
- Information on work packages
- Download of publications
- Partners details
- Contact



LEnSE - Publication

LEnSE




TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

First stepping-stone publication (1/3)

- General information on LEnSE
- Identification of sustainability issues
- National stakeholder meeting
- Scope and boundary setting
- Framework for the LEnSE methodology and outlook



National Stakeholder Meeting (TEW)

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

Country	Date	City
Czech Republic	21 June 2006	Prague
Belgium	22 June 2006	Limelette
Greece	22 June 2006	Heraklion
Netherlands	22 June 2006	Utrecht
France	6 July 2006	Paris
Germany	13 July 2006	Weimar
Switzerland	14 July 2006	Neuchâtel
United Kingdom	24 July 2006	London



National Stakeholder Meeting in each partner country 2006

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

- In total 106 participants
- General positive feedback to the project
- Results are summarised in
 - Report from each meeting
 - Final report
 - First stepping stone publication
 - Questionnaires

LEnSE: WP 3

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

SUMMARY

Report - National Stakeholders Meeting 2006

I Partner country, dates, organisation and places

Czech Republic	21 June 2006	University Prague	Prague
Belgium	22 June 2006	IBRI	Limelette
Greece	22 June 2006	European Profiles	Heraklion
Netherlands	22 June 2006	Poels	Utrecht
France	6 July 2006	Armines	Paris
Germany	13 July 2006	Bauphysik Kornadt	Weimar
Switzerland	14 July 2006	Planar SA	Neuchâtel
United Kingdom	24 July 2006	Imperial College & BRE	London

II Participants

The total number of participants from all partner countries: 106
(Czech Republic: 25 / Belgium: 10 / Greece: 18 / Netherlands: 13 / France: 10 / Germany: 5 / Schweiz: 10 / U.K.: 15)

Typical participants have been from:

- Government,
- Universities,
- Research institutes (commercial and public),
- Non-commercial organizations,
- Contractor companies,
- Design companies (i.e. architectural office) etc.

How important is the development of a methodology for a Europe-wide environmental, social and economic sustainability label for buildings:


LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

For Europe?




Which stakeholder do you believe is most important to involve in the development of the methodology?

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner



All different stakeholders are equally important!


What do you see as the most important benefits of a methodology for sustainability assessment of buildings?

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner




Who do you believe should cover the cost of assessing the environmental, social and economic sustainability of the building?

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner




In your opinion, can you rate the current level of willingness to implement sustainable construction practices in your country?

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner



Very low and low: 48 %
High and very high: 22 %


Rank the ENVIRONMENTAL topics in what you consider to be their order of importance for a sustainability rating for buildings

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner




Rank the ECONOMICS topics in what you consider to be their order of importance for a sustainability rating for buildings

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner




Rank the SOCIAL topics in what you consider to be their order of importance for a sustainability rating for buildings

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner




If we assume that the environment gets an importance score of 3, how important do you consider SOCIAL sustainability issues to be?

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner



ranking 1 and 2: 13%
ranking 4 and 5: 40%


If we assume that the environment gets an importance score of 3, how important do you consider ECONOMICS sustainability issues to be?

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner



ranking 1 and 2: 21%
ranking 4 and 5: 39%

Conclusion

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

Communication and advice
are most important!



1st Trans-national Expert Workshop:

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

Panel discussion

Experts

Mr Andreas Blum
Mr Adrian Joyce
Mr Alex von Oost
Mr Ilja Kasik
Mr Yves Roulet
Mr Domien Szeker
Mr Bouwe Taverne
Mr Wolfram Trinius
Mr Bernhard Wallyn
Ms Joanne Wheeler

LEnSE Partners

Mr Tim Bevan
Mr Johan van Dessel
Mr Freek den Dulk
Mr Petr Hajek
Mrs Saskia van Hulten
Mr Oliver Kornadt
Mrs Clare Lowe
Mr Antonin Lupisek
Mr David O'Rorke
Mr Bruno Peupartier
Mrs Katrien Putzeys
Mr Nikos Sakkas
Mr Karl Wallasch
Mrs Christiane Wermeille
Mr Nikos Zarkadis

1st Trans-national Expert Workshop:

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Panel discussion

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

Round table introduction

Key questions

- CEN/TC350
- Social housing
- Economic aspects

Open discussion



1st Trans-national Expert Workshop:

LEnSE



TEW 14.11.2006

Panel discussion

Bauphysikbüro
Prof. Kornadt & Partner

Open discussion: guideline through the panel discussion

- Acceptance of a label for sustainable building.
- Kind of label.
- Sustainable buildings and market.
- Comparison of environmental, social and economical criteria.
- Sustainability criteria and structure (hierarchical structure?).
- Consideration of regional and national specialities.
- System boundaries (building itself, building environment).